

Kilgore College Instructor Evaluations - Fall 2007 Lab Survey

Division = Science, Math and Health Sciences, Instructor = Delaney, Tom - Biology

	Strongly Agree		Agree		Disagree		Strongly Disagree		Not Applicable	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Course content and lab activities followed syllabus objectives.	16	48.5%	17	51.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Activities in lab reinforced concepts studied in lecture.	11	33.3%	21	63.6%	1	3.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was prepared for each lab.	12	36.4%	20	60.6%	1	3.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Materials were available before the lab began.	17	51.5%	14	42.4%	2	6.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Amount of materials and equipment was adequate for each lab.	14	42.4%	14	42.4%	4	12.1%	1	3.0%	0	.0%
The instructor presented subject in an organized manner.	15	45.5%	16	48.5%	1	3.0%	1	3.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was familiar with lab techniques and current technology.	17	51.5%	16	48.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor monitored the laboratory activities and provided feedback.	18	54.5%	14	42.4%	0	.0%	1	3.0%	0	.0%
Results from lab activities were discussed.	15	45.5%	15	45.5%	3	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Lab was clean and organized for each period.	15	45.5%	18	54.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab grading system was fully explained to me.	15	45.5%	14	42.4%	3	9.1%	1	3.0%	0	.0%
Daily grades were given at least once every two weeks.	9	27.3%	10	30.3%	5	15.2%	4	12.1%	5	15.2%
Daily grades were of benefit to me.	10	30.3%	11	33.3%	1	3.0%	2	6.1%	9	27.3%
The instructor had a sufficient number of grades to determine my lab grades.	9	27.3%	15	45.5%	4	12.1%	3	9.1%	2	6.1%
The method for grading assignments and tests was fair.	17	51.5%	14	42.4%	2	6.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Tests and assignments were returned within a reasonable time.	14	43.8%	18	56.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Lab practical questions were taken from material covered in the lab.	16	48.5%	13	39.4%	2	6.1%	2	6.1%	0	.0%
Lab practicals were an accurate way to measure my knowledge of course material.	16	48.5%	13	39.4%	2	6.1%	2	6.1%	0	.0%
The instructor made references to the lab manual and text.	10	30.3%	23	69.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab manual was useful and up-to-date.	9	27.3%	22	66.7%	1	3.0%	1	3.0%	0	.0%
I could understand the lab manual.	8	24.2%	21	63.6%	2	6.1%	2	6.1%	0	.0%
The instructor explained safe lab procedures.	19	57.6%	14	42.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor practiced safe lab procedures.	19	57.6%	14	42.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
A safe laboratory environment was maintained throughout the semester.	18	54.5%	15	45.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab class size was small enough for adequate instruction.	20	60.6%	13	39.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab class was as beneficial as the lecture class.	11	33.3%	18	54.5%	2	6.1%	1	3.0%	1	3.0%
The instructor was accessible.	13	39.4%	18	54.5%	1	3.0%	0	.0%	1	3.0%
The instructor was concerned with my progress.	14	42.4%	16	48.5%	0	.0%	2	6.1%	1	3.0%
The instructor treated all students equally and fairly.	16	48.5%	15	45.5%	0	.0%	2	6.1%	0	.0%
The instructor encouraged student participation in class.	12	36.4%	18	54.5%	2	6.1%	1	3.0%	0	.0%
I would recommend this lab instructor to another student.	16	48.5%	15	45.5%	1	3.0%	1	3.0%	0	.0%

Kilgore College Instructor Evaluations - Fall 2007 Lab Survey

Division = Science, Math and Health Sciences, Instructor = Wooten, Leon - Biology

	Strongly Agree		Agree		Disagree		Strongly Disagree		Not Applicable	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Course content and lab activities followed syllabus objectives.	20	54.1%	16	43.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.7%
Activities in lab reinforced concepts studied in lecture.	17	45.9%	19	51.4%	1	2.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was prepared for each lab.	20	54.1%	10	27.0%	5	13.5%	1	2.7%	1	2.7%
Materials were available before the lab began.	19	51.4%	13	35.1%	4	10.8%	0	.0%	1	2.7%
Amount of materials and equipment was adequate for each lab.	21	56.8%	14	37.8%	1	2.7%	0	.0%	1	2.7%
The instructor presented subject in an organized manner.	20	54.1%	11	29.7%	4	10.8%	1	2.7%	1	2.7%
The instructor was familiar with lab techniques and current technology.	20	54.1%	14	37.8%	1	2.7%	1	2.7%	1	2.7%
The instructor monitored the laboratory activities and provided feedback.	20	54.1%	13	35.1%	4	10.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Results from lab activities were discussed.	20	54.1%	12	32.4%	3	8.1%	1	2.7%	1	2.7%
Lab was clean and organized for each period.	18	48.6%	14	37.8%	5	13.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab grading system was fully explained to me.	17	45.9%	18	48.6%	2	5.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Daily grades were given at least once every two weeks.	19	51.4%	13	35.1%	4	10.8%	1	2.7%	0	.0%
Daily grades were of benefit to me.	22	59.5%	11	29.7%	2	5.4%	1	2.7%	1	2.7%
The instructor had a sufficient number of grades to determine my lab grades.	20	54.1%	15	40.5%	1	2.7%	0	.0%	1	2.7%
The method for grading assignments and tests was fair.	21	56.8%	14	37.8%	2	5.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Tests and assignments were returned within a reasonable time.	21	56.8%	15	40.5%	1	2.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Lab practical questions were taken from material covered in the lab.	20	55.6%	15	41.7%	1	2.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Lab practicals were an accurate way to measure my knowledge of course material.	20	55.6%	13	36.1%	3	8.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor made references to the lab manual and text.	18	48.6%	17	45.9%	1	2.7%	0	.0%	1	2.7%
The lab manual was useful and up-to-date.	21	56.8%	15	40.5%	1	2.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
I could understand the lab manual.	17	45.9%	20	54.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor explained safe lab procedures.	20	54.1%	16	43.2%	1	2.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor practiced safe lab procedures.	21	56.8%	14	37.8%	1	2.7%	0	.0%	1	2.7%
A safe laboratory environment was maintained throughout the semester.	20	54.1%	16	43.2%	1	2.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab class size was small enough for adequate instruction.	22	59.5%	14	37.8%	1	2.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab class was as beneficial as the lecture class.	20	54.1%	13	35.1%	4	10.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was accessible.	20	54.1%	14	37.8%	1	2.7%	1	2.7%	1	2.7%
The instructor was concerned with my progress.	18	50.0%	12	33.3%	4	11.1%	1	2.8%	1	2.8%
The instructor treated all students equally and fairly.	20	54.1%	14	37.8%	2	5.4%	0	.0%	1	2.7%
The instructor encouraged student participation in class.	19	51.4%	16	43.2%	2	5.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
I would recommend this lab instructor to another student.	22	59.5%	11	29.7%	2	5.4%	1	2.7%	1	2.7%

Kilgore College Instructor Evaluations - Fall 2007 Lab Survey

Division = Science, Math and Health Sciences, Instructor = Adamson, David - Nursing (ADN)

	Strongly Agree		Agree		Disagree		Strongly Disagree		Not Applicable	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Course content and lab activities followed syllabus objectives.	42	76.4%	12	21.8%	1	1.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Activities in lab reinforced concepts studied in lecture.	43	78.2%	9	16.4%	2	3.6%	0	.0%	1	1.8%
The instructor was prepared for each lab.	43	78.2%	10	18.2%	2	3.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Materials were available before the lab began.	46	83.6%	8	14.5%	1	1.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Amount of materials and equipment was adequate for each lab.	41	74.5%	14	25.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor presented subject in an organized manner.	37	67.3%	14	25.5%	4	7.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was familiar with lab techniques and current technology.	44	80.0%	10	18.2%	1	1.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor monitored the laboratory activities and provided feedback.	42	76.4%	12	21.8%	1	1.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Results from lab activities were discussed.	40	72.7%	13	23.6%	2	3.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Lab was clean and organized for each period.	42	77.8%	11	20.4%	1	1.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab grading system was fully explained to me.	42	76.4%	12	21.8%	1	1.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Daily grades were given at least once every two weeks.	44	80.0%	10	18.2%	1	1.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Daily grades were of benefit to me.	48	87.3%	6	10.9%	1	1.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor had a sufficient number of grades to determine my lab grades.	44	80.0%	11	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The method for grading assignments and tests was fair.	45	81.8%	10	18.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Tests and assignments were returned within a reasonable time.	47	85.5%	6	10.9%	2	3.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Lab practical questions were taken from material covered in the lab.	45	83.3%	6	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	5.6%
Lab practicals were an accurate way to measure my knowledge of course material.	42	77.8%	9	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	5.6%
The instructor made references to the lab manual and text.	45	81.8%	10	18.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab manual was useful and up-to-date.	42	76.4%	11	20.0%	1	1.8%	1	1.8%	0	.0%
I could understand the lab manual.	42	76.4%	12	21.8%	0	.0%	1	1.8%	0	.0%
The instructor explained safe lab procedures.	45	81.8%	9	16.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	1.8%
The instructor practiced safe lab procedures.	47	85.5%	8	14.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
A safe laboratory environment was maintained throughout the semester.	44	80.0%	11	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab class size was small enough for adequate instruction.	48	87.3%	6	10.9%	1	1.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab class was as beneficial as the lecture class.	44	80.0%	10	18.2%	1	1.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was accessible.	43	78.2%	12	21.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was concerned with my progress.	43	78.2%	11	20.0%	1	1.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor treated all students equally and fairly.	45	81.8%	10	18.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor encouraged student participation in class.	44	81.5%	10	18.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
I would recommend this lab instructor to another student.	45	83.3%	5	9.3%	3	5.6%	0	.0%	1	1.9%

Kilgore College Instructor Evaluations - Fall 2007 Lab Survey

Division = Science, Math and Health Sciences, Instructor = Au-Young, Shun - Chemistry

	Strongly Agree		Agree		Disagree		Strongly Disagree		Not Applicable	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Course content and lab activities followed syllabus objectives.	13	50.0%	11	42.3%	1	3.8%	1	3.8%	0	.0%
Activities in lab reinforced concepts studied in lecture.	15	57.7%	10	38.5%	1	3.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was prepared for each lab.	9	34.6%	14	53.8%	2	7.7%	1	3.8%	0	.0%
Materials were available before the lab began.	8	30.8%	16	61.5%	2	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Amount of materials and equipment was adequate for each lab.	10	38.5%	13	50.0%	2	7.7%	0	.0%	1	3.8%
The instructor presented subject in an organized manner.	9	34.6%	12	46.2%	4	15.4%	1	3.8%	0	.0%
The instructor was familiar with lab techniques and current technology.	16	61.5%	9	34.6%	1	3.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor monitored the laboratory activities and provided feedback.	13	50.0%	11	42.3%	2	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Results from lab activities were discussed.	8	30.8%	12	46.2%	3	11.5%	1	3.8%	2	7.7%
Lab was clean and organized for each period.	10	38.5%	14	53.8%	2	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab grading system was fully explained to me.	10	38.5%	8	30.8%	7	26.9%	1	3.8%	0	.0%
Daily grades were given at least once every two weeks.	13	50.0%	11	42.3%	1	3.8%	0	.0%	1	3.8%
Daily grades were of benefit to me.	11	42.3%	11	42.3%	3	11.5%	0	.0%	1	3.8%
The instructor had a sufficient number of grades to determine my lab grades.	13	50.0%	12	46.2%	1	3.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The method for grading assignments and tests was fair.	11	42.3%	12	46.2%	2	7.7%	0	.0%	1	3.8%
Tests and assignments were returned within a reasonable time.	11	42.3%	14	53.8%	0	.0%	1	3.8%	0	.0%
Lab practical questions were taken from material covered in the lab.	11	42.3%	14	53.8%	0	.0%	1	3.8%	0	.0%
Lab practicals were an accurate way to measure my knowledge of course material.	12	46.2%	12	46.2%	2	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor made references to the lab manual and text.	13	50.0%	12	46.2%	1	3.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab manual was useful and up-to-date.	13	50.0%	13	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
I could understand the lab manual.	12	46.2%	13	50.0%	1	3.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor explained safe lab procedures.	13	50.0%	12	46.2%	1	3.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor practiced safe lab procedures.	13	50.0%	11	42.3%	2	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
A safe laboratory environment was maintained throughout the semester.	13	50.0%	13	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab class size was small enough for adequate instruction.	13	50.0%	12	46.2%	1	3.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab class was as beneficial as the lecture class.	11	42.3%	12	46.2%	3	11.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was accessible.	11	42.3%	12	46.2%	1	3.8%	2	7.7%	0	.0%
The instructor was concerned with my progress.	12	48.0%	9	36.0%	3	12.0%	1	4.0%	0	.0%
The instructor treated all students equally and fairly.	11	42.3%	9	34.6%	5	19.2%	1	3.8%	0	.0%
The instructor encouraged student participation in class.	13	50.0%	12	46.2%	0	.0%	1	3.8%	0	.0%
I would recommend this lab instructor to another student.	11	42.3%	7	26.9%	5	19.2%	1	3.8%	2	7.7%

Kilgore College Instructor Evaluations - Fall 2007 Lab Survey

Division = Science, Math and Health Sciences, Instructor = Barnett, Lane - Surgical Technology

	Strongly Agree		Agree		Disagree		Strongly Disagree		Not Applicable	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Course content and lab activities followed syllabus objectives.	0	.0%	11	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Activities in lab reinforced concepts studied in lecture.	0	.0%	11	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was prepared for each lab.	1	9.1%	6	54.5%	3	27.3%	0	.0%	1	9.1%
Materials were available before the lab began.	0	.0%	7	63.6%	4	36.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Amount of materials and equipment was adequate for each lab.	0	.0%	7	63.6%	4	36.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor presented subject in an organized manner.	0	.0%	6	54.5%	4	36.4%	1	9.1%	0	.0%
The instructor was familiar with lab techniques and current technology.	0	.0%	9	90.0%	0	.0%	1	10.0%	0	.0%
The instructor monitored the laboratory activities and provided feedback.	1	9.1%	8	72.7%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	1	9.1%
Results from lab activities were discussed.	0	.0%	10	90.9%	0	.0%	1	9.1%	0	.0%
Lab was clean and organized for each period.	0	.0%	9	81.8%	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	0	.0%
The lab grading system was fully explained to me.	0	.0%	8	72.7%	2	18.2%	0	.0%	1	9.1%
Daily grades were given at least once every two weeks.	0	.0%	7	63.6%	2	18.2%	2	18.2%	0	.0%
Daily grades were of benefit to me.	1	9.1%	8	72.7%	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	0	.0%
The instructor had a sufficient number of grades to determine my lab grades.	1	9.1%	7	63.6%	2	18.2%	1	9.1%	0	.0%
The method for grading assignments and tests was fair.	0	.0%	9	81.8%	2	18.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Tests and assignments were returned within a reasonable time.	0	.0%	3	27.3%	5	45.5%	3	27.3%	0	.0%
Lab practical questions were taken from material covered in the lab.	0	.0%	10	90.9%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Lab practicals were an accurate way to measure my knowledge of course material.	0	.0%	9	81.8%	1	9.1%	1	9.1%	0	.0%
The instructor made references to the lab manual and text.	2	18.2%	9	81.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab manual was useful and up-to-date.	0	.0%	11	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
I could understand the lab manual.	0	.0%	10	90.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	9.1%
The instructor explained safe lab procedures.	1	9.1%	10	90.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor practiced safe lab procedures.	1	9.1%	10	90.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
A safe laboratory environment was maintained throughout the semester.	1	9.1%	10	90.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab class size was small enough for adequate instruction.	1	9.1%	9	81.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	9.1%
The lab class was as beneficial as the lecture class.	0	.0%	10	90.9%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was accessible.	0	.0%	10	90.9%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was concerned with my progress.	1	9.1%	9	81.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	9.1%
The instructor treated all students equally and fairly.	0	.0%	6	54.5%	3	27.3%	2	18.2%	0	.0%
The instructor encouraged student participation in class.	0	.0%	9	81.8%	1	9.1%	0	.0%	1	9.1%
I would recommend this lab instructor to another student.	0	.0%	6	54.5%	2	18.2%	2	18.2%	1	9.1%

Kilgore College Instructor Evaluations - Fall 2007 Lab Survey

Division = Science, Math and Health Sciences, Instructor = Martinez, Jose - Chemistry

	Strongly Agree		Agree		Disagree		Strongly Disagree		Not Applicable	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Course content and lab activities followed syllabus objectives.	9	40.9%	11	50.0%	1	4.5%	1	4.5%	0	.0%
Activities in lab reinforced concepts studied in lecture.	9	40.9%	11	50.0%	1	4.5%	1	4.5%	0	.0%
The instructor was prepared for each lab.	7	33.3%	9	42.9%	2	9.5%	3	14.3%	0	.0%
Materials were available before the lab began.	8	38.1%	9	42.9%	2	9.5%	2	9.5%	0	.0%
Amount of materials and equipment was adequate for each lab.	10	45.5%	10	45.5%	0	.0%	2	9.1%	0	.0%
The instructor presented subject in an organized manner.	10	45.5%	10	45.5%	1	4.5%	1	4.5%	0	.0%
The instructor was familiar with lab techniques and current technology.	12	54.5%	9	40.9%	1	4.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor monitored the laboratory activities and provided feedback.	12	54.5%	10	45.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Results from lab activities were discussed.	10	45.5%	12	54.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Lab was clean and organized for each period.	10	45.5%	8	36.4%	4	18.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab grading system was fully explained to me.	10	45.5%	11	50.0%	1	4.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Daily grades were given at least once every two weeks.	10	45.5%	8	36.4%	1	4.5%	3	13.6%	0	.0%
Daily grades were of benefit to me.	10	45.5%	9	40.9%	1	4.5%	1	4.5%	1	4.5%
The instructor had a sufficient number of grades to determine my lab grades.	11	50.0%	10	45.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	4.5%
The method for grading assignments and tests was fair.	12	54.5%	8	36.4%	1	4.5%	1	4.5%	0	.0%
Tests and assignments were returned within a reasonable time.	13	59.1%	8	36.4%	1	4.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Lab practical questions were taken from material covered in the lab.	10	45.5%	9	40.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	3	13.6%
Lab practicals were an accurate way to measure my knowledge of course material.	9	45.0%	8	40.0%	1	5.0%	0	.0%	2	10.0%
The instructor made references to the lab manual and text.	11	50.0%	11	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab manual was useful and up-to-date.	13	59.1%	9	40.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
I could understand the lab manual.	12	54.5%	9	40.9%	1	4.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor explained safe lab procedures.	16	72.7%	6	27.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor practiced safe lab procedures.	16	72.7%	6	27.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
A safe laboratory environment was maintained throughout the semester.	15	68.2%	7	31.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab class size was small enough for adequate instruction.	14	63.6%	7	31.8%	1	4.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab class was as beneficial as the lecture class.	12	54.5%	8	36.4%	1	4.5%	0	.0%	1	4.5%
The instructor was accessible.	12	54.5%	9	40.9%	1	4.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was concerned with my progress.	14	63.6%	7	31.8%	1	4.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor treated all students equally and fairly.	15	68.2%	6	27.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	4.5%
The instructor encouraged student participation in class.	15	68.2%	6	27.3%	1	4.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
I would recommend this lab instructor to another student.	12	54.5%	7	31.8%	2	9.1%	1	4.5%	0	.0%

Kilgore College Instructor Evaluations - Fall 2007 Lab Survey

Division = Science, Math and Health Sciences, Instructor = Bugay, Dave - Chemistry

	Strongly Agree		Agree		Disagree		Strongly Disagree		Not Applicable	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Course content and lab activities followed syllabus objectives.	39	75.0%	13	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Activities in lab reinforced concepts studied in lecture.	39	75.0%	13	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was prepared for each lab.	42	80.8%	9	17.3%	1	1.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Materials were available before the lab began.	38	73.1%	14	26.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Amount of materials and equipment was adequate for each lab.	35	67.3%	16	30.8%	1	1.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor presented subject in an organized manner.	38	76.0%	11	22.0%	1	2.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was familiar with lab techniques and current technology.	39	75.0%	13	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor monitored the laboratory activities and provided feedback.	40	76.9%	11	21.2%	1	1.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Results from lab activities were discussed.	35	67.3%	16	30.8%	1	1.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Lab was clean and organized for each period.	32	61.5%	19	36.5%	1	1.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab grading system was fully explained to me.	30	57.7%	17	32.7%	3	5.8%	0	.0%	2	3.8%
Daily grades were given at least once every two weeks.	35	67.3%	16	30.8%	1	1.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Daily grades were of benefit to me.	39	75.0%	13	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor had a sufficient number of grades to determine my lab grades.	36	69.2%	16	30.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The method for grading assignments and tests was fair.	36	69.2%	15	28.8%	1	1.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Tests and assignments were returned within a reasonable time.	39	75.0%	13	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Lab practical questions were taken from material covered in the lab.	35	67.3%	15	28.8%	1	1.9%	0	.0%	1	1.9%
Lab practicals were an accurate way to measure my knowledge of course material.	32	61.5%	17	32.7%	0	.0%	2	3.8%	1	1.9%
The instructor made references to the lab manual and text.	36	69.2%	15	28.8%	1	1.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab manual was useful and up-to-date.	37	71.2%	13	25.0%	2	3.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
I could understand the lab manual.	30	57.7%	18	34.6%	3	5.8%	0	.0%	1	1.9%
The instructor explained safe lab procedures.	40	78.4%	11	21.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor practiced safe lab procedures.	38	74.5%	12	23.5%	1	2.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
A safe laboratory environment was maintained throughout the semester.	42	80.8%	10	19.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab class size was small enough for adequate instruction.	39	75.0%	12	23.1%	1	1.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab class was as beneficial as the lecture class.	42	80.8%	9	17.3%	1	1.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was accessible.	38	73.1%	13	25.0%	1	1.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was concerned with my progress.	31	59.6%	17	32.7%	4	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor treated all students equally and fairly.	38	74.5%	12	23.5%	1	2.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor encouraged student participation in class.	37	72.5%	13	25.5%	1	2.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
I would recommend this lab instructor to another student.	40	78.4%	11	21.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%

Kilgore College Instructor Evaluations - Fall 2007 Lab Survey

Division = Science, Math and Health Sciences, Instructor = Buchanan, Paul - Geology

	Strongly Agree		Agree		Disagree		Not Applicable	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Course content and lab activities followed syllabus objectives.	14	73.7%	5	26.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Activities in lab reinforced concepts studied in lecture.	15	78.9%	4	21.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was prepared for each lab.	16	84.2%	3	15.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Materials were available before the lab began.	16	84.2%	3	15.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Amount of materials and equipment was adequate for each lab.	17	89.5%	2	10.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor presented subject in an organized manner.	14	73.7%	5	26.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was familiar with lab techniques and current technology.	16	84.2%	3	15.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor monitored the laboratory activities and provided feedback.	16	84.2%	3	15.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Results from lab activities were discussed.	13	68.4%	5	26.3%	1	5.3%	0	.0%
Lab was clean and organized for each period.	14	73.7%	5	26.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab grading system was fully explained to me.	14	73.7%	5	26.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Daily grades were given at least once every two weeks.	13	68.4%	6	31.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Daily grades were of benefit to me.	15	78.9%	4	21.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor had a sufficient number of grades to determine my lab grades.	16	84.2%	3	15.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The method for grading assignments and tests was fair.	15	78.9%	4	21.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Tests and assignments were returned within a reasonable time.	14	73.7%	4	21.1%	1	5.3%	0	.0%
Lab practical questions were taken from material covered in the lab.	14	73.7%	5	26.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Lab practicals were an accurate way to measure my knowledge of course material.	13	68.4%	6	31.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor made references to the lab manual and text.	15	78.9%	4	21.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab manual was useful and up-to-date.	13	68.4%	4	21.1%	2	10.5%	0	.0%
I could understand the lab manual.	14	73.7%	5	26.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor explained safe lab procedures.	13	68.4%	6	31.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor practiced safe lab procedures.	13	68.4%	6	31.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
A safe laboratory environment was maintained throughout the semester.	14	73.7%	5	26.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab class size was small enough for adequate instruction.	16	84.2%	3	15.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab class was as beneficial as the lecture class.	13	72.2%	5	27.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was accessible.	17	89.5%	2	10.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was concerned with my progress.	15	78.9%	3	15.8%	0	.0%	1	5.3%
The instructor treated all students equally and fairly.	17	89.5%	2	10.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor encouraged student participation in class.	17	89.5%	2	10.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
I would recommend this lab instructor to another student.	18	94.7%	1	5.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%

Kilgore College Instructor Evaluations - Fall 2007 Lab Survey

Division = Science, Math and Health Sciences, Instructor = Gleaton, Carla - Physical Therapist Assistant

	Strongly Agree		Agree		Disagree		Strongly Disagree		Not Applicable	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Course content and lab activities followed syllabus objectives.	24	80.0%	6	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Activities in lab reinforced concepts studied in lecture.	23	76.7%	6	20.0%	1	3.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was prepared for each lab.	26	86.7%	4	13.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Materials were available before the lab began.	23	76.7%	7	23.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Amount of materials and equipment was adequate for each lab.	21	70.0%	8	26.7%	1	3.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor presented subject in an organized manner.	26	86.7%	4	13.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was familiar with lab techniques and current technology.	25	83.3%	5	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor monitored the laboratory activities and provided feedback.	25	83.3%	5	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Results from lab activities were discussed.	26	86.7%	2	6.7%	2	6.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Lab was clean and organized for each period.	17	58.6%	11	37.9%	1	3.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab grading system was fully explained to me.	22	73.3%	7	23.3%	1	3.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Daily grades were given at least once every two weeks.	15	50.0%	4	13.3%	6	20.0%	1	3.3%	4	13.3%
Daily grades were of benefit to me.	17	56.7%	4	13.3%	1	3.3%	0	.0%	8	26.7%
The instructor had a sufficient number of grades to determine my lab grades.	22	73.3%	6	20.0%	2	6.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The method for grading assignments and tests was fair.	21	70.0%	9	30.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Tests and assignments were returned within a reasonable time.	22	73.3%	7	23.3%	1	3.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Lab practical questions were taken from material covered in the lab.	23	79.3%	6	20.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Lab practicals were an accurate way to measure my knowledge of course material.	19	63.3%	9	30.0%	0	.0%	1	3.3%	1	3.3%
The instructor made references to the lab manual and text.	21	70.0%	7	23.3%	2	6.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab manual was useful and up-to-date.	19	63.3%	9	30.0%	2	6.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
I could understand the lab manual.	19	63.3%	9	30.0%	2	6.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor explained safe lab procedures.	23	79.3%	6	20.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor practiced safe lab procedures.	24	80.0%	6	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
A safe laboratory environment was maintained throughout the semester.	22	75.9%	7	24.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab class size was small enough for adequate instruction.	23	76.7%	6	20.0%	1	3.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab class was as beneficial as the lecture class.	24	80.0%	5	16.7%	1	3.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was accessible.	26	86.7%	3	10.0%	1	3.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was concerned with my progress.	23	76.7%	6	20.0%	1	3.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor treated all students equally and fairly.	25	83.3%	4	13.3%	1	3.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor encouraged student participation in class.	25	83.3%	5	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
I would recommend this lab instructor to another student.	25	83.3%	4	13.3%	1	3.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%

Kilgore College Instructor Evaluations - Fall 2007 Lab Survey

Division = Science, Math and Health Sciences, Instructor = McCutchen, Lynn - Biology

	Strongly Agree		Agree		Disagree		Strongly Disagree		Not Applicable	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Course content and lab activities followed syllabus objectives.	43	67.2%	20	31.3%	1	1.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Activities in lab reinforced concepts studied in lecture.	47	73.4%	16	25.0%	0	.0%	1	1.6%	0	.0%
The instructor was prepared for each lab.	52	81.3%	11	17.2%	0	.0%	1	1.6%	0	.0%
Materials were available before the lab began.	51	79.7%	12	18.8%	1	1.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Amount of materials and equipment was adequate for each lab.	43	67.2%	18	28.1%	2	3.1%	1	1.6%	0	.0%
The instructor presented subject in an organized manner.	50	78.1%	13	20.3%	0	.0%	1	1.6%	0	.0%
The instructor was familiar with lab techniques and current technology.	56	87.5%	7	10.9%	0	.0%	1	1.6%	0	.0%
The instructor monitored the laboratory activities and provided feedback.	51	79.7%	12	18.8%	0	.0%	1	1.6%	0	.0%
Results from lab activities were discussed.	49	76.6%	13	20.3%	1	1.6%	1	1.6%	0	.0%
Lab was clean and organized for each period.	44	68.8%	18	28.1%	1	1.6%	1	1.6%	0	.0%
The lab grading system was fully explained to me.	48	75.0%	15	23.4%	0	.0%	1	1.6%	0	.0%
Daily grades were given at least once every two weeks.	49	76.6%	13	20.3%	0	.0%	1	1.6%	1	1.6%
Daily grades were of benefit to me.	50	78.1%	10	15.6%	0	.0%	2	3.1%	2	3.1%
The instructor had a sufficient number of grades to determine my lab grades.	47	74.6%	15	23.8%	1	1.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The method for grading assignments and tests was fair.	52	81.3%	11	17.2%	0	.0%	1	1.6%	0	.0%
Tests and assignments were returned within a reasonable time.	50	78.1%	13	20.3%	1	1.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Lab practical questions were taken from material covered in the lab.	52	81.3%	11	17.2%	1	1.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Lab practicals were an accurate way to measure my knowledge of course material.	49	76.6%	14	21.9%	1	1.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor made references to the lab manual and text.	52	81.3%	11	17.2%	1	1.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab manual was useful and up-to-date.	40	62.5%	21	32.8%	2	3.1%	0	.0%	1	1.6%
I could understand the lab manual.	44	68.8%	18	28.1%	2	3.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor explained safe lab procedures.	48	75.0%	15	23.4%	1	1.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor practiced safe lab procedures.	50	78.1%	13	20.3%	1	1.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
A safe laboratory environment was maintained throughout the semester.	50	78.1%	13	20.3%	1	1.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab class size was small enough for adequate instruction.	48	75.0%	12	18.8%	3	4.7%	0	.0%	1	1.6%
The lab class was as beneficial as the lecture class.	49	76.6%	14	21.9%	1	1.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was accessible.	53	82.8%	9	14.1%	2	3.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was concerned with my progress.	50	79.4%	9	14.3%	2	3.2%	1	1.6%	1	1.6%
The instructor treated all students equally and fairly.	49	77.8%	13	20.6%	0	.0%	1	1.6%	0	.0%
The instructor encouraged student participation in class.	49	79.0%	12	19.4%	1	1.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
I would recommend this lab instructor to another student.	56	88.9%	6	9.5%	0	.0%	1	1.6%	0	.0%

Kilgore College Instructor Evaluations - Fall 2007 Lab Survey

Division = Science, Math and Health Sciences, Instructor = Mobley, Laney - Biology

	Strongly Agree		Agree		Disagree		Not Applicable	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Course content and lab activities followed syllabus objectives.	18	69.2%	7	26.9%	0	.0%	1	3.8%
Activities in lab reinforced concepts studied in lecture.	15	57.7%	11	42.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was prepared for each lab.	17	65.4%	9	34.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Materials were available before the lab began.	14	53.8%	12	46.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Amount of materials and equipment was adequate for each lab.	15	57.7%	10	38.5%	1	3.8%	0	.0%
The instructor presented subject in an organized manner.	16	61.5%	9	34.6%	1	3.8%	0	.0%
The instructor was familiar with lab techniques and current technology.	18	69.2%	8	30.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor monitored the laboratory activities and provided feedback.	17	65.4%	9	34.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Results from lab activities were discussed.	17	65.4%	9	34.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Lab was clean and organized for each period.	18	69.2%	8	30.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab grading system was fully explained to me.	15	57.7%	10	38.5%	1	3.8%	0	.0%
Daily grades were given at least once every two weeks.	16	61.5%	10	38.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Daily grades were of benefit to me.	17	65.4%	9	34.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor had a sufficient number of grades to determine my lab grades.	17	65.4%	8	30.8%	0	.0%	1	3.8%
The method for grading assignments and tests was fair.	16	61.5%	9	34.6%	0	.0%	1	3.8%
Tests and assignments were returned within a reasonable time.	15	57.7%	11	42.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Lab practical questions were taken from material covered in the lab.	16	61.5%	10	38.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Lab practicals were an accurate way to measure my knowledge of course material.	15	57.7%	10	38.5%	1	3.8%	0	.0%
The instructor made references to the lab manual and text.	17	65.4%	9	34.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab manual was useful and up-to-date.	17	65.4%	8	30.8%	1	3.8%	0	.0%
I could understand the lab manual.	14	53.8%	12	46.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor explained safe lab procedures.	17	65.4%	9	34.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor practiced safe lab procedures.	17	65.4%	9	34.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
A safe laboratory environment was maintained throughout the semester.	17	65.4%	9	34.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab class size was small enough for adequate instruction.	17	65.4%	9	34.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab class was as beneficial as the lecture class.	17	68.0%	8	32.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was accessible.	16	61.5%	10	38.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was concerned with my progress.	17	65.4%	8	30.8%	1	3.8%	0	.0%
The instructor treated all students equally and fairly.	18	69.2%	8	30.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor encouraged student participation in class.	18	69.2%	7	26.9%	1	3.8%	0	.0%
I would recommend this lab instructor to another student.	18	69.2%	7	26.9%	1	3.8%	0	.0%

Kilgore College Instructor Evaluations - Fall 2007 Lab Survey

Division = Science, Math and Health Sciences, Instructor = Carter, Paula - Surgical Technology

	Strongly Agree		Agree		Disagree		Strongly Disagree		Not Applicable	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Course content and lab activities followed syllabus objectives.	5	38.5%	6	46.2%	2	15.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Activities in lab reinforced concepts studied in lecture.	5	38.5%	7	53.8%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	0	.0%
The instructor was prepared for each lab.	6	46.2%	6	46.2%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	0	.0%
Materials were available before the lab began.	5	38.5%	7	53.8%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	0	.0%
Amount of materials and equipment was adequate for each lab.	3	23.1%	8	61.5%	1	7.7%	1	7.7%	0	.0%
The instructor presented subject in an organized manner.	6	46.2%	6	46.2%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	0	.0%
The instructor was familiar with lab techniques and current technology.	6	46.2%	6	46.2%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	0	.0%
The instructor monitored the laboratory activities and provided feedback.	7	53.8%	5	38.5%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	0	.0%
Results from lab activities were discussed.	6	46.2%	6	46.2%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	0	.0%
Lab was clean and organized for each period.	3	23.1%	6	46.2%	4	30.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab grading system was fully explained to me.	4	30.8%	6	46.2%	1	7.7%	1	7.7%	1	7.7%
Daily grades were given at least once every two weeks.	4	30.8%	6	46.2%	2	15.4%	0	.0%	1	7.7%
Daily grades were of benefit to me.	4	30.8%	5	38.5%	3	23.1%	0	.0%	1	7.7%
The instructor had a sufficient number of grades to determine my lab grades.	2	15.4%	9	69.2%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	1	7.7%
The method for grading assignments and tests was fair.	5	38.5%	7	53.8%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	0	.0%
Tests and assignments were returned within a reasonable time.	3	23.1%	6	46.2%	2	15.4%	2	15.4%	0	.0%
Lab practical questions were taken from material covered in the lab.	6	46.2%	7	53.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Lab practicals were an accurate way to measure my knowledge of course material.	7	53.8%	5	38.5%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	0	.0%
The instructor made references to the lab manual and text.	5	38.5%	7	53.8%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	0	.0%
The lab manual was useful and up-to-date.	4	30.8%	6	46.2%	2	15.4%	1	7.7%	0	.0%
I could understand the lab manual.	5	38.5%	6	46.2%	1	7.7%	1	7.7%	0	.0%
The instructor explained safe lab procedures.	6	46.2%	7	53.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor practiced safe lab procedures.	6	46.2%	7	53.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
A safe laboratory environment was maintained throughout the semester.	6	46.2%	7	53.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab class size was small enough for adequate instruction.	5	38.5%	6	46.2%	2	15.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab class was as beneficial as the lecture class.	7	53.8%	5	38.5%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was accessible.	6	46.2%	5	38.5%	1	7.7%	1	7.7%	0	.0%
The instructor was concerned with my progress.	6	46.2%	6	46.2%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	0	.0%
The instructor treated all students equally and fairly.	6	46.2%	5	38.5%	1	7.7%	1	7.7%	0	.0%
The instructor encouraged student participation in class.	7	53.8%	5	38.5%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	0	.0%
I would recommend this lab instructor to another student.	6	46.2%	6	46.2%	0	.0%	1	7.7%	0	.0%

Kilgore College Instructor Evaluations - Fall 2007 Lab Survey

Division = Science, Math and Health Sciences, Instructor = Puckett, Dorothy - Biology

	Strongly Agree		Agree		Disagree		Not Applicable	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Course content and lab activities followed syllabus objectives.	37	88.1%	5	11.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Activities in lab reinforced concepts studied in lecture.	35	83.3%	7	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was prepared for each lab.	35	83.3%	7	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Materials were available before the lab began.	35	83.3%	7	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Amount of materials and equipment was adequate for each lab.	34	81.0%	8	19.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor presented subject in an organized manner.	36	85.7%	6	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was familiar with lab techniques and current technology.	37	88.1%	5	11.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor monitored the laboratory activities and provided feedback.	36	85.7%	6	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Results from lab activities were discussed.	34	81.0%	7	16.7%	1	2.4%	0	.0%
Lab was clean and organized for each period.	33	78.6%	8	19.0%	1	2.4%	0	.0%
The lab grading system was fully explained to me.	31	73.8%	11	26.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Daily grades were given at least once every two weeks.	32	76.2%	9	21.4%	1	2.4%	0	.0%
Daily grades were of benefit to me.	31	73.8%	7	16.7%	3	7.1%	1	2.4%
The instructor had a sufficient number of grades to determine my lab grades.	33	78.6%	8	19.0%	1	2.4%	0	.0%
The method for grading assignments and tests was fair.	34	81.0%	8	19.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Tests and assignments were returned within a reasonable time.	34	81.0%	8	19.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Lab practical questions were taken from material covered in the lab.	35	83.3%	7	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Lab practicals were an accurate way to measure my knowledge of course material.	33	78.6%	9	21.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor made references to the lab manual and text.	38	90.5%	4	9.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab manual was useful and up-to-date.	31	73.8%	11	26.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
I could understand the lab manual.	31	73.8%	11	26.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor explained safe lab procedures.	37	88.1%	5	11.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor practiced safe lab procedures.	34	81.0%	8	19.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
A safe laboratory environment was maintained throughout the semester.	37	88.1%	5	11.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab class size was small enough for adequate instruction.	35	83.3%	7	16.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab class was as beneficial as the lecture class.	36	85.7%	6	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was accessible.	33	78.6%	7	16.7%	0	.0%	2	4.8%
The instructor was concerned with my progress.	32	78.0%	9	22.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor treated all students equally and fairly.	37	90.2%	4	9.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor encouraged student participation in class.	31	75.6%	10	24.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
I would recommend this lab instructor to another student.	35	85.4%	6	14.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%

Kilgore College Instructor Evaluations - Fall 2007 Lab Survey

Division = Science, Math and Health Sciences, Instructor = Young, Robert - Agriculture

	Strongly Agree		Agree		Disagree		Not Applicable	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Course content and lab activities followed syllabus objectives.	17	81.0%	3	14.3%	0	.0%	1	4.8%
Activities in lab reinforced concepts studied in lecture.	17	81.0%	4	19.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was prepared for each lab.	16	76.2%	5	23.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Materials were available before the lab began.	17	81.0%	3	14.3%	1	4.8%	0	.0%
Amount of materials and equipment was adequate for each lab.	16	76.2%	5	23.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor presented subject in an organized manner.	17	81.0%	3	14.3%	1	4.8%	0	.0%
The instructor was familiar with lab techniques and current technology.	16	76.2%	5	23.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor monitored the laboratory activities and provided feedback.	17	81.0%	4	19.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Results from lab activities were discussed.	17	81.0%	4	19.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Lab was clean and organized for each period.	16	76.2%	4	19.0%	1	4.8%	0	.0%
The lab grading system was fully explained to me.	16	76.2%	4	19.0%	1	4.8%	0	.0%
Daily grades were given at least once every two weeks.	15	71.4%	5	23.8%	0	.0%	1	4.8%
Daily grades were of benefit to me.	17	81.0%	4	19.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor had a sufficient number of grades to determine my lab grades.	17	81.0%	3	14.3%	1	4.8%	0	.0%
The method for grading assignments and tests was fair.	17	81.0%	4	19.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Tests and assignments were returned within a reasonable time.	16	76.2%	5	23.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Lab practical questions were taken from material covered in the lab.	16	76.2%	4	19.0%	1	4.8%	0	.0%
Lab practicals were an accurate way to measure my knowledge of course material.	16	76.2%	5	23.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor made references to the lab manual and text.	17	81.0%	3	14.3%	0	.0%	1	4.8%
The lab manual was useful and up-to-date.	17	81.0%	3	14.3%	0	.0%	1	4.8%
I could understand the lab manual.	17	81.0%	3	14.3%	0	.0%	1	4.8%
The instructor explained safe lab procedures.	17	81.0%	4	19.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor practiced safe lab procedures.	17	81.0%	4	19.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
A safe laboratory environment was maintained throughout the semester.	17	81.0%	4	19.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab class size was small enough for adequate instruction.	17	81.0%	4	19.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab class was as beneficial as the lecture class.	17	81.0%	3	14.3%	1	4.8%	0	.0%
The instructor was accessible.	18	85.7%	3	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was concerned with my progress.	18	85.7%	3	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor treated all students equally and fairly.	18	85.7%	3	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor encouraged student participation in class.	19	90.5%	2	9.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
I would recommend this lab instructor to another student.	19	90.5%	1	4.8%	0	.0%	1	4.8%

Kilgore College Instructor Evaluations - Fall 2007 Lab Survey

Division = Science, Math and Health Sciences, Instructor = Howe, Ronda - Chemistry

	Strongly Agree		Agree		Disagree		Strongly Disagree		Not Applicable	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Course content and lab activities followed syllabus objectives.	29	90.6%	3	9.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Activities in lab reinforced concepts studied in lecture.	28	87.5%	4	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was prepared for each lab.	30	93.8%	2	6.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Materials were available before the lab began.	30	93.8%	2	6.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Amount of materials and equipment was adequate for each lab.	29	90.6%	3	9.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor presented subject in an organized manner.	30	93.8%	2	6.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was familiar with lab techniques and current technology.	30	93.8%	2	6.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor monitored the laboratory activities and provided feedback.	29	90.6%	3	9.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Results from lab activities were discussed.	27	84.4%	5	15.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Lab was clean and organized for each period.	27	87.1%	4	12.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab grading system was fully explained to me.	27	84.4%	5	15.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Daily grades were given at least once every two weeks.	29	90.6%	0	.0%	1	3.1%	2	6.3%	0	.0%
Daily grades were of benefit to me.	27	84.4%	4	12.5%	0	.0%	1	3.1%	0	.0%
The instructor had a sufficient number of grades to determine my lab grades.	28	87.5%	3	9.4%	0	.0%	1	3.1%	0	.0%
The method for grading assignments and tests was fair.	28	87.5%	3	9.4%	1	3.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Tests and assignments were returned within a reasonable time.	30	93.8%	1	3.1%	0	.0%	1	3.1%	0	.0%
Lab practical questions were taken from material covered in the lab.	28	87.5%	4	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Lab practicals were an accurate way to measure my knowledge of course material.	28	87.5%	3	9.4%	1	3.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor made references to the lab manual and text.	26	81.3%	6	18.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab manual was useful and up-to-date.	25	78.1%	7	21.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
I could understand the lab manual.	25	78.1%	7	21.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor explained safe lab procedures.	28	87.5%	4	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor practiced safe lab procedures.	28	87.5%	4	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
A safe laboratory environment was maintained throughout the semester.	29	90.6%	3	9.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab class size was small enough for adequate instruction.	29	90.6%	3	9.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab class was as beneficial as the lecture class.	28	87.5%	3	9.4%	1	3.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was accessible.	28	87.5%	3	9.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	3.1%
The instructor was concerned with my progress.	29	90.6%	3	9.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor treated all students equally and fairly.	28	87.5%	4	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor encouraged student participation in class.	29	90.6%	3	9.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
I would recommend this lab instructor to another student.	28	87.5%	4	12.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%

Kilgore College Instructor Evaluations - Fall 2007 Lab Survey

Division = Science, Math and Health Sciences, Instructor = Hunkapiller, Tim - Biology

	Strongly Agree		Agree		Disagree		Strongly Disagree		Not Applicable	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Course content and lab activities followed syllabus objectives.	17	54.8%	12	38.7%	2	6.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Activities in lab reinforced concepts studied in lecture.	16	51.6%	13	41.9%	2	6.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was prepared for each lab.	16	51.6%	12	38.7%	3	9.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Materials were available before the lab began.	16	51.6%	13	41.9%	2	6.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Amount of materials and equipment was adequate for each lab.	17	54.8%	12	38.7%	2	6.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor presented subject in an organized manner.	16	51.6%	10	32.3%	3	9.7%	1	3.2%	1	3.2%
The instructor was familiar with lab techniques and current technology.	17	54.8%	13	41.9%	1	3.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor monitored the laboratory activities and provided feedback.	18	58.1%	11	35.5%	2	6.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Results from lab activities were discussed.	16	51.6%	13	41.9%	2	6.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Lab was clean and organized for each period.	15	48.4%	14	45.2%	2	6.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab grading system was fully explained to me.	18	60.0%	11	36.7%	1	3.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Daily grades were given at least once every two weeks.	16	51.6%	12	38.7%	1	3.2%	1	3.2%	1	3.2%
Daily grades were of benefit to me.	17	54.8%	12	38.7%	2	6.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor had a sufficient number of grades to determine my lab grades.	16	51.6%	14	45.2%	1	3.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The method for grading assignments and tests was fair.	14	45.2%	16	51.6%	1	3.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Tests and assignments were returned within a reasonable time.	15	48.4%	13	41.9%	3	9.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Lab practical questions were taken from material covered in the lab.	16	51.6%	14	45.2%	1	3.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Lab practicals were an accurate way to measure my knowledge of course material.	14	45.2%	15	48.4%	2	6.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor made references to the lab manual and text.	15	48.4%	15	48.4%	1	3.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab manual was useful and up-to-date.	15	48.4%	15	48.4%	1	3.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
I could understand the lab manual.	14	45.2%	14	45.2%	3	9.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor explained safe lab procedures.	16	53.3%	13	43.3%	1	3.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor practiced safe lab procedures.	17	54.8%	13	41.9%	1	3.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
A safe laboratory environment was maintained throughout the semester.	16	51.6%	13	41.9%	2	6.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab class size was small enough for adequate instruction.	16	51.6%	14	45.2%	1	3.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab class was as beneficial as the lecture class.	15	48.4%	14	45.2%	1	3.2%	1	3.2%	0	.0%
The instructor was accessible.	16	51.6%	13	41.9%	2	6.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was concerned with my progress.	16	51.6%	13	41.9%	1	3.2%	1	3.2%	0	.0%
The instructor treated all students equally and fairly.	18	58.1%	13	41.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor encouraged student participation in class.	15	50.0%	14	46.7%	1	3.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
I would recommend this lab instructor to another student.	16	53.3%	12	40.0%	1	3.3%	1	3.3%	0	.0%

Kilgore College Instructor Evaluations - Fall 2007 Lab Survey

Division = Science, Math and Health Sciences, Instructor = Ignatchenko, Elena - Chemistry

	Strongly Agree		Agree		Disagree		Strongly Disagree		Not Applicable	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Course content and lab activities followed syllabus objectives.	30	66.7%	15	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Activities in lab reinforced concepts studied in lecture.	30	66.7%	13	28.9%	2	4.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was prepared for each lab.	29	64.4%	16	35.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Materials were available before the lab began.	27	60.0%	18	40.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Amount of materials and equipment was adequate for each lab.	29	64.4%	13	28.9%	3	6.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor presented subject in an organized manner.	22	48.9%	19	42.2%	3	6.7%	1	2.2%	0	.0%
The instructor was familiar with lab techniques and current technology.	31	68.9%	13	28.9%	1	2.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor monitored the laboratory activities and provided feedback.	31	68.9%	12	26.7%	0	.0%	2	4.4%	0	.0%
Results from lab activities were discussed.	28	62.2%	13	28.9%	3	6.7%	1	2.2%	0	.0%
Lab was clean and organized for each period.	30	66.7%	13	28.9%	2	4.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab grading system was fully explained to me.	27	60.0%	15	33.3%	1	2.2%	2	4.4%	0	.0%
Daily grades were given at least once every two weeks.	26	57.8%	15	33.3%	1	2.2%	2	4.4%	1	2.2%
Daily grades were of benefit to me.	29	64.4%	14	31.1%	1	2.2%	1	2.2%	0	.0%
The instructor had a sufficient number of grades to determine my lab grades.	28	62.2%	16	35.6%	1	2.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The method for grading assignments and tests was fair.	32	71.1%	12	26.7%	1	2.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Tests and assignments were returned within a reasonable time.	33	73.3%	12	26.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Lab practical questions were taken from material covered in the lab.	31	68.9%	13	28.9%	0	.0%	1	2.2%	0	.0%
Lab practicals were an accurate way to measure my knowledge of course material.	26	59.1%	14	31.8%	3	6.8%	0	.0%	1	2.3%
The instructor made references to the lab manual and text.	28	62.2%	15	33.3%	1	2.2%	1	2.2%	0	.0%
The lab manual was useful and up-to-date.	23	51.1%	19	42.2%	3	6.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
I could understand the lab manual.	23	51.1%	15	33.3%	5	11.1%	2	4.4%	0	.0%
The instructor explained safe lab procedures.	32	71.1%	12	26.7%	1	2.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor practiced safe lab procedures.	33	73.3%	10	22.2%	2	4.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
A safe laboratory environment was maintained throughout the semester.	33	73.3%	12	26.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab class size was small enough for adequate instruction.	26	57.8%	18	40.0%	0	.0%	1	2.2%	0	.0%
The lab class was as beneficial as the lecture class.	31	68.9%	12	26.7%	1	2.2%	1	2.2%	0	.0%
The instructor was accessible.	22	48.9%	23	51.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was concerned with my progress.	25	55.6%	18	40.0%	2	4.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor treated all students equally and fairly.	25	55.6%	17	37.8%	2	4.4%	0	.0%	1	2.2%
The instructor encouraged student participation in class.	26	57.8%	18	40.0%	1	2.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
I would recommend this lab instructor to another student.	25	55.6%	18	40.0%	0	.0%	1	2.2%	1	2.2%

Kilgore College Instructor Evaluations - Fall 2007 Lab Survey

Division = Science, Math and Health Sciences, Instructor = Schneider, Julia - Nursing (ADN)

	Strongly Agree		Agree		Disagree		Strongly Disagree		Not Applicable	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Course content and lab activities followed syllabus objectives.	28	54.9%	22	43.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.0%
Activities in lab reinforced concepts studied in lecture.	30	58.8%	18	35.3%	1	2.0%	1	2.0%	1	2.0%
The instructor was prepared for each lab.	28	54.9%	20	39.2%	1	2.0%	1	2.0%	1	2.0%
Materials were available before the lab began.	28	54.9%	20	39.2%	2	3.9%	0	.0%	1	2.0%
Amount of materials and equipment was adequate for each lab.	24	47.1%	20	39.2%	5	9.8%	1	2.0%	1	2.0%
The instructor presented subject in an organized manner.	24	47.1%	23	45.1%	2	3.9%	1	2.0%	1	2.0%
The instructor was familiar with lab techniques and current technology.	26	51.0%	22	43.1%	2	3.9%	0	.0%	1	2.0%
The instructor monitored the laboratory activities and provided feedback.	26	51.0%	20	39.2%	4	7.8%	0	.0%	1	2.0%
Results from lab activities were discussed.	24	47.1%	23	45.1%	3	5.9%	0	.0%	1	2.0%
Lab was clean and organized for each period.	31	60.8%	18	35.3%	1	2.0%	0	.0%	1	2.0%
The lab grading system was fully explained to me.	27	52.9%	19	37.3%	4	7.8%	0	.0%	1	2.0%
Daily grades were given at least once every two weeks.	32	62.7%	17	33.3%	1	2.0%	0	.0%	1	2.0%
Daily grades were of benefit to me.	31	60.8%	18	35.3%	1	2.0%	0	.0%	1	2.0%
The instructor had a sufficient number of grades to determine my lab grades.	33	64.7%	15	29.4%	2	3.9%	0	.0%	1	2.0%
The method for grading assignments and tests was fair.	29	56.9%	21	41.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.0%
Tests and assignments were returned within a reasonable time.	29	56.9%	20	39.2%	1	2.0%	0	.0%	1	2.0%
Lab practical questions were taken from material covered in the lab.	31	60.8%	18	35.3%	1	2.0%	0	.0%	1	2.0%
Lab practicals were an accurate way to measure my knowledge of course material.	32	62.7%	17	33.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	2	3.9%
The instructor made references to the lab manual and text.	32	62.7%	18	35.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.0%
The lab manual was useful and up-to-date.	28	54.9%	22	43.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.0%
I could understand the lab manual.	27	52.9%	23	45.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.0%
The instructor explained safe lab procedures.	29	56.9%	21	41.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.0%
The instructor practiced safe lab procedures.	29	56.9%	21	41.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.0%
A safe laboratory environment was maintained throughout the semester.	29	56.9%	21	41.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.0%
The lab class size was small enough for adequate instruction.	30	58.8%	18	35.3%	0	.0%	2	3.9%	1	2.0%
The lab class was as beneficial as the lecture class.	30	58.8%	18	35.3%	1	2.0%	1	2.0%	1	2.0%
The instructor was accessible.	26	51.0%	19	37.3%	3	5.9%	2	3.9%	1	2.0%
The instructor was concerned with my progress.	29	58.0%	14	28.0%	5	10.0%	1	2.0%	1	2.0%
The instructor treated all students equally and fairly.	29	56.9%	16	31.4%	4	7.8%	1	2.0%	1	2.0%
The instructor encouraged student participation in class.	30	58.8%	15	29.4%	4	7.8%	1	2.0%	1	2.0%
I would recommend this lab instructor to another student.	28	54.9%	13	25.5%	4	7.8%	5	9.8%	1	2.0%

Kilgore College Instructor Evaluations - Fall 2007 Lab Survey

Division = Science, Math and Health Sciences, Instructor = Williams, Debbie - Biology

	Strongly Agree		Agree		Disagree		Strongly Disagree		Not Applicable	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Course content and lab activities followed syllabus objectives.	9	45.0%	10	50.0%	1	5.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Activities in lab reinforced concepts studied in lecture.	10	50.0%	7	35.0%	3	15.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was prepared for each lab.	11	55.0%	7	35.0%	2	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Materials were available before the lab began.	12	60.0%	6	30.0%	2	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Amount of materials and equipment was adequate for each lab.	11	55.0%	7	35.0%	2	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor presented subject in an organized manner.	11	55.0%	5	25.0%	4	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was familiar with lab techniques and current technology.	11	55.0%	8	40.0%	1	5.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor monitored the laboratory activities and provided feedback.	9	45.0%	10	50.0%	1	5.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Results from lab activities were discussed.	9	45.0%	9	45.0%	2	10.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Lab was clean and organized for each period.	8	40.0%	10	50.0%	1	5.0%	1	5.0%	0	.0%
The lab grading system was fully explained to me.	7	36.8%	10	52.6%	2	10.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Daily grades were given at least once every two weeks.	10	50.0%	5	25.0%	4	20.0%	1	5.0%	0	.0%
Daily grades were of benefit to me.	9	47.4%	4	21.1%	4	21.1%	0	.0%	2	10.5%
The instructor had a sufficient number of grades to determine my lab grades.	9	45.0%	7	35.0%	3	15.0%	0	.0%	1	5.0%
The method for grading assignments and tests was fair.	9	45.0%	9	45.0%	1	5.0%	0	.0%	1	5.0%
Tests and assignments were returned within a reasonable time.	6	30.0%	11	55.0%	2	10.0%	1	5.0%	0	.0%
Lab practical questions were taken from material covered in the lab.	9	45.0%	10	50.0%	0	.0%	1	5.0%	0	.0%
Lab practicals were an accurate way to measure my knowledge of course material.	8	40.0%	11	55.0%	0	.0%	1	5.0%	0	.0%
The instructor made references to the lab manual and text.	10	50.0%	9	45.0%	1	5.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab manual was useful and up-to-date.	9	45.0%	10	50.0%	1	5.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
I could understand the lab manual.	8	40.0%	12	60.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor explained safe lab procedures.	11	55.0%	9	45.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor practiced safe lab procedures.	11	55.0%	9	45.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
A safe laboratory environment was maintained throughout the semester.	11	55.0%	9	45.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab class size was small enough for adequate instruction.	14	70.0%	6	30.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab class was as beneficial as the lecture class.	10	50.0%	7	35.0%	3	15.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was accessible.	8	40.0%	9	45.0%	3	15.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was concerned with my progress.	9	45.0%	3	15.0%	8	40.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor treated all students equally and fairly.	11	55.0%	8	40.0%	1	5.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor encouraged student participation in class.	9	45.0%	8	40.0%	3	15.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
I would recommend this lab instructor to another student.	8	40.0%	8	40.0%	3	15.0%	1	5.0%	0	.0%

Kilgore College Instructor Evaluations - Fall 2007 Lab Survey

Division = Science, Math and Health Sciences, Instructor = Lavine, Cindy - Physical Therapist Assistant

	Strongly Agree		Agree		Disagree		Strongly Disagree		Not Applicable	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Course content and lab activities followed syllabus objectives.	4	14.3%	24	85.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Activities in lab reinforced concepts studied in lecture.	5	17.9%	22	78.6%	1	3.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was prepared for each lab.	2	7.4%	19	70.4%	6	22.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Materials were available before the lab began.	5	18.5%	18	66.7%	3	11.1%	0	.0%	1	3.7%
Amount of materials and equipment was adequate for each lab.	5	17.9%	21	75.0%	1	3.6%	0	.0%	1	3.6%
The instructor presented subject in an organized manner.	4	14.3%	16	57.1%	7	25.0%	1	3.6%	0	.0%
The instructor was familiar with lab techniques and current technology.	10	35.7%	17	60.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	3.6%
The instructor monitored the laboratory activities and provided feedback.	7	25.0%	19	67.9%	2	7.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Results from lab activities were discussed.	5	17.9%	16	57.1%	4	14.3%	1	3.6%	2	7.1%
Lab was clean and organized for each period.	5	17.9%	21	75.0%	2	7.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab grading system was fully explained to me.	4	14.3%	21	75.0%	3	10.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Daily grades were given at least once every two weeks.	2	7.1%	8	28.6%	12	42.9%	2	7.1%	4	14.3%
Daily grades were of benefit to me.	1	3.6%	10	35.7%	5	17.9%	2	7.1%	10	35.7%
The instructor had a sufficient number of grades to determine my lab grades.	3	10.7%	22	78.6%	1	3.6%	2	7.1%	0	.0%
The method for grading assignments and tests was fair.	1	3.6%	19	67.9%	5	17.9%	3	10.7%	0	.0%
Tests and assignments were returned within a reasonable time.	5	17.9%	21	75.0%	2	7.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Lab practical questions were taken from material covered in the lab.	4	14.3%	17	60.7%	5	17.9%	2	7.1%	0	.0%
Lab practicals were an accurate way to measure my knowledge of course material.	4	14.3%	18	64.3%	5	17.9%	1	3.6%	0	.0%
The instructor made references to the lab manual and text.	1	3.6%	14	50.0%	4	14.3%	3	10.7%	6	21.4%
The lab manual was useful and up-to-date.	0	.0%	12	42.9%	4	14.3%	2	7.1%	10	35.7%
I could understand the lab manual.	0	.0%	14	51.9%	2	7.4%	1	3.7%	10	37.0%
The instructor explained safe lab procedures.	6	21.4%	17	60.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	5	17.9%
The instructor practiced safe lab procedures.	6	21.4%	18	64.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	4	14.3%
A safe laboratory environment was maintained throughout the semester.	8	28.6%	20	71.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab class size was small enough for adequate instruction.	9	32.1%	18	64.3%	0	.0%	1	3.6%	0	.0%
The lab class was as beneficial as the lecture class.	7	25.9%	16	59.3%	3	11.1%	1	3.7%	0	.0%
The instructor was accessible.	7	25.0%	20	71.4%	1	3.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was concerned with my progress.	8	28.6%	18	64.3%	1	3.6%	1	3.6%	0	.0%
The instructor treated all students equally and fairly.	3	10.7%	20	71.4%	1	3.6%	2	7.1%	2	7.1%
The instructor encouraged student participation in class.	10	35.7%	18	64.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
I would recommend this lab instructor to another student.	8	28.6%	17	60.7%	1	3.6%	0	.0%	2	7.1%

Kilgore College Instructor Evaluations - Fall 2007 Lab Survey

Division = Science, Math and Health Sciences, Instructor = Collins, Jim - Biology

	Strongly Agree		Agree		Disagree		Strongly Disagree		Not Applicable	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Course content and lab activities followed syllabus objectives.	13	46.4%	14	50.0%	1	3.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Activities in lab reinforced concepts studied in lecture.	9	32.1%	15	53.6%	2	7.1%	2	7.1%	0	.0%
The instructor was prepared for each lab.	16	57.1%	12	42.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Materials were available before the lab began.	12	42.9%	15	53.6%	1	3.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Amount of materials and equipment was adequate for each lab.	12	42.9%	13	46.4%	2	7.1%	1	3.6%	0	.0%
The instructor presented subject in an organized manner.	10	35.7%	16	57.1%	1	3.6%	1	3.6%	0	.0%
The instructor was familiar with lab techniques and current technology.	13	46.4%	15	53.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor monitored the laboratory activities and provided feedback.	13	46.4%	15	53.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Results from lab activities were discussed.	13	46.4%	15	53.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Lab was clean and organized for each period.	11	39.3%	14	50.0%	3	10.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab grading system was fully explained to me.	14	50.0%	12	42.9%	2	7.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Daily grades were given at least once every two weeks.	8	28.6%	9	32.1%	9	32.1%	2	7.1%	0	.0%
Daily grades were of benefit to me.	11	39.3%	12	42.9%	3	10.7%	2	7.1%	0	.0%
The instructor had a sufficient number of grades to determine my lab grades.	8	28.6%	15	53.6%	4	14.3%	1	3.6%	0	.0%
The method for grading assignments and tests was fair.	10	35.7%	18	64.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Tests and assignments were returned within a reasonable time.	12	42.9%	13	46.4%	2	7.1%	1	3.6%	0	.0%
Lab practical questions were taken from material covered in the lab.	10	37.0%	15	55.6%	2	7.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Lab practicals were an accurate way to measure my knowledge of course material.	9	32.1%	13	46.4%	5	17.9%	1	3.6%	0	.0%
The instructor made references to the lab manual and text.	11	39.3%	15	53.6%	2	7.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab manual was useful and up-to-date.	12	42.9%	13	46.4%	3	10.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
I could understand the lab manual.	10	35.7%	14	50.0%	4	14.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor explained safe lab procedures.	15	53.6%	13	46.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor practiced safe lab procedures.	14	50.0%	14	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
A safe laboratory environment was maintained throughout the semester.	14	50.0%	14	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab class size was small enough for adequate instruction.	14	50.0%	11	39.3%	2	7.1%	1	3.6%	0	.0%
The lab class was as beneficial as the lecture class.	11	39.3%	11	39.3%	6	21.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was accessible.	10	37.0%	16	59.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	3.7%
The instructor was concerned with my progress.	9	32.1%	14	50.0%	5	17.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor treated all students equally and fairly.	11	39.3%	14	50.0%	3	10.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor encouraged student participation in class.	11	39.3%	14	50.0%	1	3.6%	2	7.1%	0	.0%
I would recommend this lab instructor to another student.	9	32.1%	13	46.4%	3	10.7%	3	10.7%	0	.0%

Kilgore College Instructor Evaluations - Fall 2007 Lab Survey

Division = Science, Math and Health Sciences, Instructor = Morris, Alan - Engineering

	Strongly Agree		Agree		Disagree		Not Applicable	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Course content and lab activities followed syllabus objectives.	8	50.0%	8	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Activities in lab reinforced concepts studied in lecture.	8	50.0%	8	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was prepared for each lab.	7	43.8%	9	56.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Materials were available before the lab began.	6	37.5%	10	62.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Amount of materials and equipment was adequate for each lab.	9	56.3%	7	43.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor presented subject in an organized manner.	9	56.3%	7	43.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was familiar with lab techniques and current technology.	9	56.3%	7	43.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor monitored the laboratory activities and provided feedback.	11	68.8%	5	31.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Results from lab activities were discussed.	7	43.8%	9	56.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Lab was clean and organized for each period.	9	56.3%	7	43.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab grading system was fully explained to me.	9	56.3%	7	43.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Daily grades were given at least once every two weeks.	11	68.8%	5	31.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Daily grades were of benefit to me.	10	62.5%	6	37.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor had a sufficient number of grades to determine my lab grades.	8	50.0%	7	43.8%	1	6.3%	0	.0%
The method for grading assignments and tests was fair.	11	68.8%	5	31.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Tests and assignments were returned within a reasonable time.	8	50.0%	8	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Lab practical questions were taken from material covered in the lab.	7	43.8%	7	43.8%	0	.0%	2	12.5%
Lab practicals were an accurate way to measure my knowledge of course material.	7	43.8%	7	43.8%	0	.0%	2	12.5%
The instructor made references to the lab manual and text.	7	43.8%	6	37.5%	0	.0%	3	18.8%
The lab manual was useful and up-to-date.	6	37.5%	5	31.3%	0	.0%	5	31.3%
I could understand the lab manual.	7	43.8%	4	25.0%	0	.0%	5	31.3%
The instructor explained safe lab procedures.	8	50.0%	6	37.5%	1	6.3%	1	6.3%
The instructor practiced safe lab procedures.	7	43.8%	7	43.8%	1	6.3%	1	6.3%
A safe laboratory environment was maintained throughout the semester.	7	43.8%	8	50.0%	0	.0%	1	6.3%
The lab class size was small enough for adequate instruction.	7	43.8%	5	31.3%	4	25.0%	0	.0%
The lab class was as beneficial as the lecture class.	6	37.5%	9	56.3%	1	6.3%	0	.0%
The instructor was accessible.	9	56.3%	7	43.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was concerned with my progress.	9	56.3%	6	37.5%	1	6.3%	0	.0%
The instructor treated all students equally and fairly.	8	50.0%	8	50.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor encouraged student participation in class.	7	46.7%	7	46.7%	1	6.7%	0	.0%
I would recommend this lab instructor to another student.	9	60.0%	6	40.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%

Kilgore College Instructor Evaluations - Fall 2007 Lab Survey

Division = Science, Math and Health Sciences, Instructor = Stowe, William - Biology

	Strongly Agree		Agree		Disagree		Strongly Disagree		Not Applicable	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Course content and lab activities followed syllabus objectives.	36	70.6%	15	29.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Activities in lab reinforced concepts studied in lecture.	35	68.6%	16	31.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was prepared for each lab.	38	74.5%	13	25.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Materials were available before the lab began.	41	80.4%	10	19.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Amount of materials and equipment was adequate for each lab.	39	76.5%	12	23.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor presented subject in an organized manner.	39	76.5%	9	17.6%	3	5.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was familiar with lab techniques and current technology.	41	80.4%	10	19.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor monitored the laboratory activities and provided feedback.	39	76.5%	12	23.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Results from lab activities were discussed.	37	72.5%	14	27.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Lab was clean and organized for each period.	43	84.3%	8	15.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab grading system was fully explained to me.	38	74.5%	11	21.6%	2	3.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Daily grades were given at least once every two weeks.	32	62.7%	12	23.5%	4	7.8%	2	3.9%	1	2.0%
Daily grades were of benefit to me.	37	72.5%	11	21.6%	1	2.0%	1	2.0%	1	2.0%
The instructor had a sufficient number of grades to determine my lab grades.	39	76.5%	10	19.6%	2	3.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The method for grading assignments and tests was fair.	41	80.4%	10	19.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Tests and assignments were returned within a reasonable time.	38	74.5%	13	25.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Lab practical questions were taken from material covered in the lab.	41	80.4%	9	17.6%	1	2.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Lab practicals were an accurate way to measure my knowledge of course material.	39	76.5%	12	23.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor made references to the lab manual and text.	35	68.6%	16	31.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab manual was useful and up-to-date.	33	64.7%	17	33.3%	0	.0%	1	2.0%	0	.0%
I could understand the lab manual.	27	52.9%	22	43.1%	2	3.9%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor explained safe lab procedures.	40	78.4%	11	21.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor practiced safe lab procedures.	40	78.4%	11	21.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
A safe laboratory environment was maintained throughout the semester.	40	78.4%	11	21.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab class size was small enough for adequate instruction.	39	76.5%	12	23.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab class was as beneficial as the lecture class.	40	78.4%	11	21.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was accessible.	39	76.5%	10	19.6%	1	2.0%	1	2.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was concerned with my progress.	36	70.6%	15	29.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor treated all students equally and fairly.	40	78.4%	11	21.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor encouraged student participation in class.	40	78.4%	11	21.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
I would recommend this lab instructor to another student.	39	78.0%	10	20.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	2.0%

Kilgore College Instructor Evaluations - Fall 2007 Lab Survey

Division = Science, Math and Health Sciences, Instructor = Prihoda, Belinda - Chemistry

	Strongly Agree		Agree		Disagree		Strongly Disagree		Not Applicable	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Course content and lab activities followed syllabus objectives.	9	69.2%	3	23.1%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Activities in lab reinforced concepts studied in lecture.	8	61.5%	4	30.8%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was prepared for each lab.	10	76.9%	3	23.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Materials were available before the lab began.	9	69.2%	4	30.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Amount of materials and equipment was adequate for each lab.	9	69.2%	4	30.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor presented subject in an organized manner.	7	53.8%	6	46.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was familiar with lab techniques and current technology.	8	61.5%	5	38.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor monitored the laboratory activities and provided feedback.	9	69.2%	4	30.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Results from lab activities were discussed.	7	53.8%	4	30.8%	2	15.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Lab was clean and organized for each period.	8	61.5%	5	38.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab grading system was fully explained to me.	10	76.9%	1	7.7%	2	15.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Daily grades were given at least once every two weeks.	5	38.5%	7	53.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	1	7.7%
Daily grades were of benefit to me.	7	53.8%	6	46.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor had a sufficient number of grades to determine my lab grades.	9	69.2%	4	30.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The method for grading assignments and tests was fair.	8	61.5%	4	30.8%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Tests and assignments were returned within a reasonable time.	8	61.5%	5	38.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Lab practical questions were taken from material covered in the lab.	8	61.5%	5	38.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Lab practicals were an accurate way to measure my knowledge of course material.	8	61.5%	3	23.1%	2	15.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor made references to the lab manual and text.	7	53.8%	5	38.5%	1	7.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab manual was useful and up-to-date.	8	61.5%	1	7.7%	3	23.1%	1	7.7%	0	.0%
I could understand the lab manual.	7	53.8%	3	23.1%	3	23.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor explained safe lab procedures.	11	84.6%	2	15.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor practiced safe lab procedures.	9	69.2%	4	30.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
A safe laboratory environment was maintained throughout the semester.	8	61.5%	5	38.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab class size was small enough for adequate instruction.	11	84.6%	2	15.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab class was as beneficial as the lecture class.	8	72.7%	1	9.1%	2	18.2%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was accessible.	9	75.0%	3	25.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was concerned with my progress.	7	58.3%	5	41.7%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor treated all students equally and fairly.	10	76.9%	3	23.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor encouraged student participation in class.	10	76.9%	3	23.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
I would recommend this lab instructor to another student.	11	84.6%	2	15.4%	0	.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%

Kilgore College Instructor Evaluations - Fall 2007 Lab Survey

Division = Liberal and Fine Arts, Instructor = Atkins, Michael - Drama

	Strongly Agree		Agree		Not Applicable	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Course content and lab activities followed syllabus objectives.	11	91.7%	1	8.3%	0	.0%
Activities in lab reinforced concepts studied in lecture.	11	91.7%	1	8.3%	0	.0%
The instructor was prepared for each lab.	12	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Materials were available before the lab began.	12	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Amount of materials and equipment was adequate for each lab.	11	91.7%	1	8.3%	0	.0%
The instructor presented subject in an organized manner.	11	91.7%	1	8.3%	0	.0%
The instructor was familiar with lab techniques and current technology.	12	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor monitored the laboratory activities and provided feedback.	12	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Results from lab activities were discussed.	12	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Lab was clean and organized for each period.	12	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab grading system was fully explained to me.	10	83.3%	2	16.7%	0	.0%
Daily grades were given at least once every two weeks.	10	83.3%	1	8.3%	1	8.3%
Daily grades were of benefit to me.	11	91.7%	1	8.3%	0	.0%
The instructor had a sufficient number of grades to determine my lab grades.	10	83.3%	1	8.3%	1	8.3%
The method for grading assignments and tests was fair.	10	83.3%	2	16.7%	0	.0%
Tests and assignments were returned within a reasonable time.	10	83.3%	2	16.7%	0	.0%
Lab practical questions were taken from material covered in the lab.	12	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Lab practicals were an accurate way to measure my knowledge of course material.	11	91.7%	1	8.3%	0	.0%
The instructor made references to the lab manual and text.	11	91.7%	0	.0%	1	8.3%
The lab manual was useful and up-to-date.	10	83.3%	1	8.3%	1	8.3%
I could understand the lab manual.	10	83.3%	1	8.3%	1	8.3%
The instructor explained safe lab procedures.	12	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor practiced safe lab procedures.	11	91.7%	1	8.3%	0	.0%
A safe laboratory environment was maintained throughout the semester.	12	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab class size was small enough for adequate instruction.	11	91.7%	1	8.3%	0	.0%
The lab class was as beneficial as the lecture class.	12	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was accessible.	12	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was concerned with my progress.	12	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor treated all students equally and fairly.	11	91.7%	1	8.3%	0	.0%
The instructor encouraged student participation in class.	11	91.7%	1	8.3%	0	.0%
I would recommend this lab instructor to another student.	12	100.0%	0	.0%	0	.0%

Kilgore College Instructor Evaluations - Fall 2007 Lab Survey

Division = KC-Longview, Instructor = Lanier, Brenda

	Strongly Agree		Agree		Disagree		Strongly Disagree		Not Applicable	
	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%	Count	%
Course content and lab activities followed syllabus objectives.	10	52.6%	8	42.1%	1	5.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Activities in lab reinforced concepts studied in lecture.	10	52.6%	7	36.8%	2	10.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was prepared for each lab.	10	52.6%	8	42.1%	1	5.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Materials were available before the lab began.	11	57.9%	6	31.6%	2	10.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Amount of materials and equipment was adequate for each lab.	10	52.6%	8	42.1%	1	5.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor presented subject in an organized manner.	10	52.6%	8	42.1%	1	5.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was familiar with lab techniques and current technology.	11	57.9%	7	36.8%	1	5.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor monitored the laboratory activities and provided feedback.	10	52.6%	7	36.8%	2	10.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Results from lab activities were discussed.	10	55.6%	7	38.9%	1	5.6%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Lab was clean and organized for each period.	9	47.4%	8	42.1%	2	10.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab grading system was fully explained to me.	9	47.4%	6	31.6%	4	21.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Daily grades were given at least once every two weeks.	9	47.4%	6	31.6%	4	21.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Daily grades were of benefit to me.	9	47.4%	8	42.1%	1	5.3%	0	.0%	1	5.3%
The instructor had a sufficient number of grades to determine my lab grades.	11	57.9%	6	31.6%	2	10.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The method for grading assignments and tests was fair.	10	52.6%	7	36.8%	2	10.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Tests and assignments were returned within a reasonable time.	11	61.1%	5	27.8%	2	11.1%	0	.0%	0	.0%
Lab practical questions were taken from material covered in the lab.	11	57.9%	6	31.6%	1	5.3%	0	.0%	1	5.3%
Lab practicals were an accurate way to measure my knowledge of course material.	11	57.9%	7	36.8%	1	5.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor made references to the lab manual and text.	10	52.6%	6	31.6%	3	15.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab manual was useful and up-to-date.	10	52.6%	6	31.6%	2	10.5%	0	.0%	1	5.3%
I could understand the lab manual.	10	52.6%	6	31.6%	2	10.5%	0	.0%	1	5.3%
The instructor explained safe lab procedures.	11	57.9%	6	31.6%	2	10.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor practiced safe lab procedures.	12	63.2%	5	26.3%	2	10.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
A safe laboratory environment was maintained throughout the semester.	12	63.2%	6	31.6%	1	5.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab class size was small enough for adequate instruction.	10	52.6%	8	42.1%	1	5.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The lab class was as beneficial as the lecture class.	10	52.6%	7	36.8%	2	10.5%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was accessible.	9	47.4%	7	36.8%	3	15.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%
The instructor was concerned with my progress.	10	52.6%	6	31.6%	2	10.5%	1	5.3%	0	.0%
The instructor treated all students equally and fairly.	10	52.6%	6	31.6%	2	10.5%	1	5.3%	0	.0%
The instructor encouraged student participation in class.	10	52.6%	8	42.1%	1	5.3%	0	.0%	0	.0%
I would recommend this lab instructor to another student.	10	52.6%	6	31.6%	3	15.8%	0	.0%	0	.0%

Kilgore College Instructor Evaluations - Fall 2007 Lab Survey

Division = KC-Longview, Instructor = McGraw, Danah - LVN

	Strongly Agree		Agree	
	Count	%	Count	%
Course content and lab activities followed syllabus objectives.	11	68.8%	5	31.3%
Activities in lab reinforced concepts studied in lecture.	13	76.5%	4	23.5%
The instructor was prepared for each lab.	13	76.5%	4	23.5%
Materials were available before the lab began.	12	70.6%	5	29.4%
Amount of materials and equipment was adequate for each lab.	12	70.6%	5	29.4%
The instructor presented subject in an organized manner.	13	76.5%	4	23.5%
The instructor was familiar with lab techniques and current technology.	12	70.6%	5	29.4%
The instructor monitored the laboratory activities and provided feedback.	14	82.4%	3	17.6%
Results from lab activities were discussed.	12	70.6%	5	29.4%
Lab was clean and organized for each period.	12	70.6%	5	29.4%
The lab grading system was fully explained to me.	12	70.6%	5	29.4%
Daily grades were given at least once every two weeks.	11	64.7%	6	35.3%
Daily grades were of benefit to me.	12	70.6%	5	29.4%
The instructor had a sufficient number of grades to determine my lab grades.	12	70.6%	5	29.4%
The method for grading assignments and tests was fair.	12	70.6%	5	29.4%
Tests and assignments were returned within a reasonable time.	11	64.7%	6	35.3%
Lab practical questions were taken from material covered in the lab.	13	76.5%	4	23.5%
Lab practicals were an accurate way to measure my knowledge of course material.	12	70.6%	5	29.4%
The instructor made references to the lab manual and text.	14	82.4%	3	17.6%
The lab manual was useful and up-to-date.	13	76.5%	4	23.5%
I could understand the lab manual.	12	70.6%	5	29.4%
The instructor explained safe lab procedures.	11	64.7%	6	35.3%
The instructor practiced safe lab procedures.	13	76.5%	4	23.5%
A safe laboratory environment was maintained throughout the semester.	12	70.6%	5	29.4%
The lab class size was small enough for adequate instruction.	13	76.5%	4	23.5%
The lab class was as beneficial as the lecture class.	12	70.6%	5	29.4%
The instructor was accessible.	13	76.5%	4	23.5%
The instructor was concerned with my progress.	13	76.5%	4	23.5%
The instructor treated all students equally and fairly.	12	70.6%	5	29.4%
The instructor encouraged student participation in class.	13	76.5%	4	23.5%
I would recommend this lab instructor to another student.	13	76.5%	4	23.5%